

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,302	02/13/2002	Jean-Louis H. Gueret	05725.1021-00	7746
7590 02/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas L. Irving			LE, HUYEN D	
•	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
1300 I Street, N Washington, D	I.W. C 20005-3315		3751 DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	. 9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*, }				
41.	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/073,302	GUERET, JEAN-	EAN-LOUIS H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Huyen Le	3751	1 ()72	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cov r sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress U	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the period for reply will, by statution of the period for reply will be period for reply	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	December 2003.			
•	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to th	ie merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-136 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27,32-36,38-40,88, 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26,28-31,37,41-87,89-91,98 and 37 (Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the	93-97 and 99-101 is/are value of 102-136 is/are rejected. For election requirement. Therefore the description of the decision is required if the drawing the decision is required if the drawing the	to by the Examiner. vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	DFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in fority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/073,302 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-21, 24, 25, 30, 41-45 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pouly (No. 782.500).

The Pouly reference discloses an applicator assembly (See Fig. 3) comprising an applicator member 3 having a face configured to apply product to a surface, the applicator member 3 defining a chamber having an opening in the face; and a holder 5 configured to hold the product 1 and to be removably mounted relative to the application member 3 so as to permit the chamber to removably receive the product 1, the holder 5 having a substantially fixed axial position with respect to the application member when the holder 5 is mounted relative to the applicator member 3.

Regarding claim 6, the applicator assembly comprises a support member 4, wherein the applicator member 3 is mounted on the support member 4.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the holder and the support member are configured to be removably engaged via screw-fastening.

Regarding claims 11-14, the holder 5 and the support member 4 comprise mutually cooperative engagement mechanisms, e.i. screw threading, for engaging the holder 5 to the support member 4.

Art Unit: 3751

3. Claims 1-4,6-16, 19-26, 28-31, 41, 45, 58-64, 66-87, 89-92, 102, 118-121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henriksson (2,962,743)

The Henriksson reference discloses an applicator assembly comprising an applicator member 28 having a face configured to apply product to a surface, the applicator member 28 defining a chamber having an opening in the face; a holder 20 configured to hold the product and to be removably mounted relative to the application member 28 so as to permit the chamber to removably receive the product; and a lid 32 configured to removably cover at least a portion of the application member 28.

Regarding claim 6, the applicator assembly comprises a support member 12, wherein the applicator member 28 mounted on the support member 12.

Regarding claims 9-14 and 68-74, the holder 20 and the support member 12 comprise mutually cooperative engagement mechanisms, e.i. screw threading, for engaging the holder 20 to the support member 12.

Regarding claims 26 and 87, the applicator member 28 comprises a plurality of foam blocks 40 and 42.

Regarding claims 28 and 89, the foam blocks 40 and 42 are stacked vertically upon each other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3751

5. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pouly (No. 782.500) in view of Gueret (5,904,151).

Although the Pouly reference does not disclose that the applicator member 3 includes flocking on an exterior surface portion of the application member 3, attention is directed to the Gueret reference which discloses another applicator having flocking on the exterior surface of the applicator member for improving the softness and quality of the application.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Pouly applicator member with flocking in view of the teaching of the Gueret reference in order to improve the softness and the quality of the application.

6. Claims 22, 23, 61-86, 91, 92, 98, 102-10, 118-121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pouly (No. 782.500) in view of Gueret (5,904,151).

Although the Pouly reference does not disclose that the applicator includes a lid, attention is directed to the Gueret reference which discloses another applicator system comprising a lid (Figs. 7A, 7D and 8A) for protecting the application member when not in use.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide cap for the Pouly applicator system in view of the teaching of the Gueret reference in order to protect the application member when not in use.

Art Unit: 3751

7. Claims 46-57, 106-117, 122-136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pouly (No. 782.500) in view of Gueret (5,904,151).

Although the Pouly reference does not an applicator system comprising a plurality of application members and holders stored in case, attention is directed to the Gueret reference which teaches an applicator kit comprising a plurality of applicators of variety of different product stored in the case for easy and convenient use.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of Pouly applicator having different products disposed case in view of the teaching of the Gueret reference for easy carrying and convenient use.

8. Claims 26, 28, 29, 87, 89 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pouly (No. 782.500) in view of Gueret (5,904,151).

Although the Pouly reference does not disclose the applicator member 3 includes a plurality of foam blocks stacked upon each other, attention is directed to the Gueret reference which discloses another applicator comprising plurality of foam blocks stacked upon each other.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Pouly applicator with a stack of foam blocks in view of the teaching of the Gueret reference for provide different tones and feel to the application of the product on a user.

9. Claims 37 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henriksson (2,962,743) in view of McNair (2,361,407)

Art Unit: 3751

Although the Henriksson reference does not disclose that the applicator member 3 includes textile on an exterior surface portion of the application member 3, attention is directed to the McNair reference which discloses another applicator having textile on the exterior surface of the applicator member for improving the softness and quality of the application.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Henriksson applicator member with textile in view of the teaching of the McNair reference in order to improve the softness and the quality of the application.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed in Paper no. 7 filed on 12/16/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regarding applicant's arguments with respect to the Pouly reference that Pouly neither discloses nor suggest a holder configured to hold the product and to be removably mounted relative to the application member so as to permit the chamber to removably receive the product, and the holder having a substantially fixed position with respect to a portion of the application member, Pouly shows the holder 5 threadedly engaged with the applicator member 30 via a tubular support 4 as shown in Figure 3. The holder 5 has the same axis as the application member 3 and does not move laterally or radially as it is mounted relative to the applicator member. Furthermore, Figures 1 and 4 show the holder 2 or 6 has a same axis as the applicator member 3 and it has a fixed axial position relative to the application member. Therefore, the holder 5 is

Art Unit: 3751

"removably mounted" to the applicator member 3 and has a substantially "fixed axial position" with respect to the applicator member, as claimed.

In regarding applicant's arguments with respect to the combination of Pouly and Gueret that there is motivation to combine the Pouly and Gueret reference because the Pouly does not include a bottom having structural configuration similar to that of Gueret Bottom, the Pouly reference shows a bottom 4, to which the applicator member 3 is attached, can be changed to accommodate a lid. Modifying the structure of Pouly device to accommodate a lid for covering the applicator head is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding applicant's arguments with respect to claims 122 and 130 that Gueret does not suggest a plurality of application members and "at least one holder configured to hold the product and to be removably mounted relative to each of the application members, the Gueret reference is merely used having a kit with a plurality of applicators. Providing a kit with Pouly applicators in view of the teaching of Gueret would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the holder 5 of Pouly device would be capable of being removably mounted relative to each of the plurality of applicators as desired.

In regarding applicant's arguments with respect to that Henriksson reference that Henriksson fails to disclose the holder configured to hold the product and to be removably mounted relative to the application member, Henriksson discloses a holder 20 (tube) configured to hold the product and threadedly mounted relative to the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,302 Page 8

Art Unit: 3751

application member 28via a support member 12. Therefore, the holder 20 is "removably mounted" to the applicator member 28.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 703-306-5504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL February 19, 2004.

> GREGORY L. HUSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 9